



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,243	10/31/2003	Patrick J. Treado	E2079-00012	2411
36290 7590 02/29/2008 DUANE MORRIS LLP 505 9th Street Suite 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2166				
EXAMINER PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L.				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2872				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
02/29/2008		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/698,243	TREADO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT	2872	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JOSHUA L. PRITCHETT. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mark Comtois (Reg. 46,285). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 01 February 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Batchelder (US 5,689,333); Montagu (WO96/37797).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued the prior art failed to teach a multi-view image. The examiner agreed that the prior art fails to teach a pictorial representation of the sample generated with different wavelengths from the sample. The examiner advised the applicant an additional search would be required to determine patentability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Joshua L. Pritchett/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required